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---000---

CHAIRMAN FREED: I will call the board meeting of the Public Employees' Benefits Program to order. And I will start with Agenda Item Number 1, and I will take roll, thereby stealing Wendi's thunder yet again.

Don Bailey.
MEMBER BAILEY: Here.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Linda FOX.

MEMBER FOX: Here.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Heather Korbulic. Okay. I was told Heather is excused today.

Leah Lamborn.

MEMBER LAMBORN: Here.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Jet Mitchell.

MEMBER MITCHELL: Here.

CHAIRMAN FREED: David Smith.

MEMBER SMITH: Here.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Tom Verducci.

MEMBER VERDUCCI: Here.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Dr. Marsha Urban.

MEMBER URBAN: Here.

CHAIRMAN FREED: We have a quorum. All right.

Agenda Item 2 is public comment. So $I$ will turn it back over CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
to our operator, Brad, and let him tell us how public comment is going to roll.

OPERATOR BRAD: Hi, Ladies and Gentlemen. If you wish to ask a question or make a comment, please press one and zero on your telephone key pad. You may withdraw your question at any time by repeating the one and the zero command. Once again, if there are any comments at this time, please press one and then zero on your telephone key pad. And one moment please for your first question or comment. Once again, if there are any comments at this time, please press one and then zero.

It does appear at this time there are no comments from the phone lines.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Oh, wow. Okay. Then I guess I would remind everyone who is listening that we will have a second public comment period under Agenda Item 5.

So, with that, we'll move to Agenda Item 3, PEBP board disclosures for board meeting agenda items. And I will turn it over to Deputy Attorney General Brandee Mooneyhan.

MS. MOONEYHAN: Thank you, Madam Chair. Good morning, everyone. Again, this is Brandee Mooneyhan, deputy attorney general, counsel to the board. I'm making this disclosure on behalf of all members of the board who are eligible for PEBP benefits, which includes everybody except CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

Mr. Verducci. That means all other members are eligible for PEBP, including their family members, and are currently eligible for PEBP benefits. And that eligibility may trigger disclosure requirements under NRS 281A. 420 as these members spoke on items that may affect those benefits.

And Agenda Item Number 4 does cause various changes to program detail including funding and premiums. So I make this disclosure on behalf of those board members. And I would like to note that the statute does not preclude them from voting on these items as long as they are in the same position as other members who are affected by these changes.

And, with that, I will invite anyone who has anything to add in terms of disclosure to do so now. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FREED: All right. Again, this is Laura Freed. Why don't -- If none of the board members have disclosures, then we'll move to Agenda Item 4, discussion and possible action to present fiscal year 2021 budget reserves options.

And, before $I$ turn it over to the executive officer, I'll just take a moment as a chair to kind of set the table. I know all the board members and everyone listening in the public has had a chance to read the power point with the options in it. And I just want to say that CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
we're here today because the executive officer and her staff have been asked to provide a scenario for budget reactions equal to six percent or 24 and a half million dollars.

Our job as a board here is to help the executive officer choose the least worst option, because none of these are good or positive or make any of us as participants or board members happy. But she has to do this by the instruction of the governor's finance office. And so let's try and make the best of the bad choices that we possibly can. And what happens after that we really don't know.

So, with that, I will turn it over to Laura Rich.
MS. RICH: All right. Good morning. So just for the record, Laura Rich. As Laura Freed just mentioned, all agencies were directed as a result of the expected decline in revenues to come up with proposed budget reserves for fiscal year 21. I will have to say that all other agencies were asked to come up with a six, ten, and 14 percent plan. We as PEBP were just asked to come up with that six percent, which equals approximately 24.5 million dollars.

We are starting with actually an 18.1 million dollar target. Because, at the March 31st board meeting, the PEBP board approved that ESI Market Check contract approved amount and that was four and a half million dollars, and the implementation of the SaveOn program, which was 1.9 million CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
dollars. So, out of that 24 and a half million dollars, we're down to about an 18.1 million dollar target.

So I think what I'll do is go over each one of the options and then pause in case there's any questions, if that works for everybody.

So there was a series of about nine options presented. There's obviously many, many more options. But I think it just becomes overwhelming to, you know, come to the board with 25 different things to choose from. So what PEBP did was we put together what made the most sense.

Option one is reducing the base HSA and HRA funding. So this affects the -- If you look at that slide -Every one of these slides is going to have an impact chart, who this impacts and how significant that impact is. The decreasing the HSA and HRA funding does have quite a significant impact on those numbers that are on the CDHP because it's -- those funds are meant for that first dollar payment to help with that deductible and to help with the out-of-pocket expenses.

So you can see that today we offer a base HSA and HRA contribution of $\$ 700$ per employee and $\$ 200$ per dependent up to three. So today a member with three dependants could potentially get about $\$ 1300$. That's if we reduce it. There are savings, depending on which level and which option you CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
choose, you're saving up to anywhere from 1.7 to 1.8 million dollars, depending on if you reduce that base, if you reduce the dependent contribution, et cetera, et cetera. So I'll stop right there and see if there's any questions.

OPERATOR BRAD: We do have a question or a comment on the line.

CHAIRMAN FREED: This is Laura Freed. This is not public comment. This is questions from board members. OPERATOR BRAD: My apologies.

MS. RICH: This is Laura Rich. Laura Freed, do you want to pause? It sounds like there were some public comments. Do you want to pause and go back to public comment before we start this?

CHAIRMAN FREED: Yeah, why don't we do that. If there were actually people on the line for public comment, this would be the first time in history that -- I've never seen public comment at the beginning of a PEBP board meeting. So why don't we go back then to Agenda Item 2, briefly, get through the public comment, and then $I$ will let Laura Rich continue with discussing the options before the board.

OPERATOR BRAD: My apologies. We did have a question or a comment on the line from Priscilla Maloney. Please go ahead.

MS. MALONEY: Good morning, Madam Chair and the CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

Board. Can everybody hear me all right? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yep.

MS. MALONEY: The purpose of having public comment at the beginning of the meeting is a pro forma part that we've had in the past. But, in this instance it's especially critical, because of all the hard work Ms. Rich has done. She met with the advocates, all of them, and I only speak for the AFSCME retirees this morning. But she met with all of us on Friday to go over these options. And I wanted to cut to the chase and simply put on the record that having felt that I am thoroughly versed in what the choices are and I recognize the situation that the State of Nevada is in, I have consulted with my board members on this. And we will be supporting. So I'm going to -- Spoiler alert. We are going to be supporting the staff recommendation.

There's just a couple of quick things I need to say about that. If the life insurance option is not part of the PEBP recommendation -- That's option number seven -- I want to put on the record right now that my retiree group is vehemently opposed to any kind of reduction in the life reduction benefit. It is a modest benefit to begin with. We have fought for that for years. There is times when it's been reduced due to budget constraints and then bumped back up in the next biennium. And this is a modest amount that CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
will not even most likely cover most funerals these days. But for my members to go out and try to procure some kind of life insurance in their age demographic is nearly impossible. And so they really wanted me to put on the record that they are vehemently opposed to any combination of recommendations that puts that option number seven, life insurance reduction benefits, on the table.

And then just real quickly following up with what was discussed in the meeting and then some follow up e-mails on Friday, it's our understanding on option three, which is the reduction of -- Well, it's a cap on the rollover amount for the HRA for the Medicare Exchange retirees. It's our understanding that people carrying large balances as of today -- And maybe during the board discussion this can be clarified with Ms. Rich -- but our understanding after our discussions with her on Friday were that anyone with a balance that would be affected because their balance has been rolled over for years and perhaps they haven't claimed an out-of-pocket expense after their Medicare premium is taking care of their expenses for the last few years.

Anyone who has -- If the board approves this option -- This is option three, which is part of the staff, support staff, recommendation. If you have anybody who has a balance that would be affected, somebody with a balance of CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
more than 8,000 as it were on the books, will be notified this June, June of 2020, so that they can utilize those funds. It's a use it or lose it kind of idea. They have some time to utilize this fund, depending on what date, which date, the board chooses to have this go in to effect in 2021.

So those are my comments. And if there's any question about my comments, please feel free. But, otherwise, that's all we need to say to start off the discussion this morning for the AFSCME retirees. Thank you. CHAIRMAN FREED: Okay. Brad, are there other people in the comment cue?

OPERATOR BRAD: We do have a comment on the line of Kent Ervin.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Okay. Go ahead.
MR. ERVIN: Hi. Thank you. This is Kent Ervin. And I know Doug Unger was also trying to get in. This is Kent Ervin, E-r-v-i-n, for the Nevada Faculty Alliance, the statement association of faculty at NSHE institution. I would like to thank PEBP staff and Executive Director Laura Rich for your hard work in coming up with options, difficult options, to address the governor's request for budget reductions and for working with all of us.

This is a hard time for the nation, for Nevada, state employees, and people in general. As state employees, CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
we are fortunate that furloughs and layoffs have not occurred, but we do fear for the future. PEBP benefits or reserves do not directly impact the state general fund budget. State hiring freezes are already cutting enrollment to PEBP, which will reduce the size to PEBP's budget. Any savings that PEBP makes now will not benefit the state budget unless the legislature acts in the future to reduce the funding in to PEBP.

Also, at this point, our understanding is that the governor has not yet announced any decision on where cuts will be made in the various state agencies. So while PEBP needs to act now on any plan design options, because open enrollment is just around the corner, there is great uncertainty. For that reason, the options that are reversible are the best.

In that vain, we find that options six, seven, eight, and nine that directly cut plan benefits are the worst options in our view, but because they affect people with health care now, including the COVID-19, and they just affect different groups of participants.

We also don't like option one, reduction of base HSA contributions for the CDHP, although HSA amounts have been variable because of supplemental contributions from excess reserves, so participants might expect cuts there. CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

That base amount is essential to the stature of the consumer-driven high deductible plan, for the high first dollar cost.

Option four, the surcharge in all participants, is more fair if we really had to go there, because at least it affects all active employees the same across the CDHP, HMO, and EPO plans, however, it could be seen as a tax on state employees to make up the state revenue shortfall.

So, the bottom line is that NSA supports the staff-recommended options, two, three, and five, on the last page of the presentation. These are the least painful options for participants.

Down in to the details, we would ask that the HRA caps in options three not be implemented until the later May 30, 2021 date in order to allow time for educating participants and time for those retirees in the Medicare Exchange to arrange for reimbursement.

For option five, the reduction of catastrophic reserves to 50 days, we strongly recommend that it be approved for fiscal year 2021 only at this time, with later action required to extend it when more is known about the budget situation and the potential impact of COVID-19 on expenditures.

So, with that, thank you for your time and thank CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
you for working through these hard decisions.
CHAIRMAN FREED: Okay. Thank you. Next public comment.

OPERATOR BRAD: Yeah. We have a comment from the line of Douglas Unger.

MR. UNGER: Yes. Good morning and thanks to all on the board and to Laura Rich, especially, for reaching out to state advocates. My name is Douglas Unger. I represent the UNLV Employees Benefits Advisory Committee and the Executive Committee of the UNLV Faculty Senate.

Our constituents have asked me to make a case for not reducing plan benefits or considering those options only in the most extreme emergency. The reason for not reducing plan benefits is that if one reduces plan benefits it will hit the 50 percent of plan members who use the deductible and the 12 percent. We get the out-of-pocket maximum and that's disproportionately affects the sickest plan members.

We are in favor of options two, three, and five recommended by the executive officer to address this budget reserve necessity. We would like to emphasize that we hope that the board and all in the state understand that these measures should be temporary only to carry us through this budget crisis being suffered by the state.

We are, again, not entirely against a surcharge CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
if it becomes necessary in the future or at some future meeting. We would prefer that over cutting plan benefits.

Looking ahead, we would hope that the board would start investigating also a lower deductible PPO plan to replace the EPO and HMO plan. It's our understanding that those contracts come up in 2021 and we really need a better solution than the very, very high cost HMO EPO plans.

We thank the board for the service. Again, we recommend option two, three, and five, and item number four for the budget reserve necessity. Thanks very much.

CHAIRMAN FREED: All right. Thank you.
Do we have any others for public comment in the cue?

OPERATOR BRAD: We do have a comment from the like of -- One moment.
(The court reporter interrupts because the line went completely silent)

OPERATOR BRAD: Kevin Ranft. Line is open. Please go ahead with your comment.

MR. RANFT: Good morning. Can you hear me? Good morning, Respective Chair and Committee Members. My name is Kevin Ranft representing AFSCME Local 4041. State employees often what really happens is they bear the cost in these type of crisis and budget just falls on the state employees. And CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
in this case there's no difference.
But at this point we truly appreciate the hard work Laura Rich and her staff has done for these options. We never like spending of large reserves or really digging down in to benefit reduction for state employees. Again, her staff on options two, three, and five seem to bear the minimum the state employees would have to face and to reduce that burden. And, therefore, we do recommend to really look at those options two, three, and five as a board and to really understand that we hope these are not forever.

We hope that things change and ultimately -- As we know, this is not easy for any board member, for Laura Rich, and her staff to -- They're impacted as well. But at the same time we have to stop looking at -- And this is not for PEBP board, but this is for overall in general, you know, for just simply public comment.

State employees are not the fallback to the state's budget crisis. They're really not. They are hard-working employees who have families, who are trying to make a living. And every time there's no diversified revenue coming in, the state employees get hit. Enough is enough. And we have to figure out ways in the state when a crisis hits, which they will again, how to deal with the economic fallout. And that's a bigger broad picture for other people CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
to answer. But it should not fall on the state employees' backs.

For those reasons, we thank the board for their hard work today. And, again, we recommend options two, three, and five. And I appreciate your time. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Thank you.
All right. Anybody else in the cue for public
comment?

OPERATOR BRAD: There are no further comments in the cue.

CHAIRMAN FREED: All right. Thanks.
Okay. So with that, why don't we return to Agenda Item 4. And Ms. Rich was -- had finished her presentation, I think, of option one and had asked for questions from the board members. And so if there are any, I will go back to Ms. Rich again.

MS. RICH: All right. Sounds good. For the record, Laura Rich. So we'll move on to option two. This is the HRA required reserved funding level option. So we know that we have a few required reserves at PEBP. One of them is the HRA required reserve. And that basically is funded at a hundred percent. So, all HRA funding that we contribute to either on the exchange or on the CDHP -- So there's two different buckets there -- we fund it at a hundred percent. CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

Now, the reality is it really doesn't need to be funded at a hundred percent. We're not going to have a hundred percent of members go out and ask for, especially on the exchange, where they must submit a reimbursement request. It is very unlikely that a hundred percent of these funds will be used. So the recommendation here is to fund it at a lower level. The recommendation, as you can see at the end, would be at that 80 percent mark, which does come with an eight million dollar -- eight million dollars in savings.

Now, in red there it says, note that choosing option three will change savings amounts for option two because option three will inherently reduce the overall reserve levels. So option three will go in to it. But it cuts the balance, the overall balance. So when you reduce that overall balance, those funding levels, the savings changes. So we'll talk about that when we talk about option three. But I'll go ahead and pause there for any questions from the board members.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Board members, any questions? Sounds like no.

MS. RICH: Good. Okay. So we're going to go -We're going to skip that slide for the HRA reserves funding levels of chosen with option three. We're going to skip that and go directly to option three, which is the Medicare CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

Exchange HRA rollover tax.
So, let's talk about HRAs. HRAs, it's different whether you are on the CDHP versus you are on the Medicare Exchange. On the CDHP you get HRA funding similar to that HSA funding. And you use it in a similar manner as well. Those on the Medicare Exchange are funded differently. That HRA funding is different than on the CDHP.

So the way it works is HRA for those Medicare Exchange members are funded by a years of service subsidy. So, for example, if you are a retiree with 20 years of service, you get $\$ 260$. That's $\$ 13$ per year of service. And that is where it tops out. $\$ 260$ a month is what one would get on the Medicare Exchange.

That HRA subsidy was intended when it was implemented to assist with the Medicare plan premiums. Those on Medicare Exchange can use their HRA to pay for those premiums. That was the intent of it. They can also use their HRA funds to pay for any out-of-pocket expenses.

Now, what has been happening is that Medicare Exchange HRA has been accruing. And if you look at option two on that chart on option two, you can see that the reserve funding levels have continued to increase and increase and increase. And so that bucket of HRA balances has just increased throughout the years. People are not using their CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

HRA funding. Those on the Medicare Exchange are not using it. And the reason why is because some people do not have a reason to use it based on if you're on Medicare Plan B. And so they don't have a monthly expense to get reimbursed for. And then their out-of-pocket expenses are, you know, if they do accrue them, they're pretty minimal. You're talking, you know, about a $\$ 20$ a month fee premium. You're talking if you go to the -- to a primary care doctor or a specialist, it's either five dollars or $\mathbf{\$ 2 5}$. And so those out-of-pocket expenses on the Medicare Exchange are pretty minimal. And so you've get members on that Medicare Exchange that have these very fundings that don't use it.

You've got about 117 accounts that have a total cash balance of over two million dollars, but it has zero activity in the last five years. Some of them have over a -almost a $\$ 25,000$ or even over that balance who have never ever touched their HRA and probably won't. And so those balances will continue to accrue. And PEBP has to fund those balances. We've got that required reserve level.

And so what we are recommending here in option three and what RPEN and through the other advocacy groups, which I do appreciate they are -- they have listened and they do support this option of rolling -- of capping that rollover amount. So, as you can see, if you cap at that $\$ 10,000$ mark, CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
we're saving about 3.8 million dollars. To cap at the $\$ 8,000$ mark, we're saving about 3.4 million dollars. There's about 944 accounts that have over $\$ 8,000$. Many of them, as 1 said, 117 of those have not touched their balances in the last five years. And so, again, the impact here is very minimal because the ones that you are impacting probably either don't use it or don't need it. And an $\$ 8,000$ dollar cap or a \$10,000 cap is plenty when you're dealing with the fairly minimal out-of-pocket expenses that come with the Medicare Exchange. So with that I'll pause here and take any questions.

MEMBER VERDUCCI: Madam Chair, Tom Verducci for the record.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Okay. Go ahead.
MEMBER VERDUCCI: Okay. So my question is the members are not using these HRA balances and a notice goes out. When would this take effect to allow them time to make a decision if they're going to be using the HRA balances and also when -- would this affect the 2021 plan year savings in terms of the 24 and a half million dollars that we are required to save?

MS. RICH: For the record, Laura Rich. Tom, this is in the ordinary case considerations. That would be something that the board would want to consider. Do we want CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
to make this effective January 1st, 2021. Do we want to make it effective May 30th, 2021. So either one of these options give members some time to spend down their HRA balances.

Now, remember, they've got to have qualified medical expenses. If they have premiums, they're most likely are reusing it and do not fall in to over 8,000 or over 10,000 bucket. They're using their HRA to pay for their premiums. That's usually done automatically. So this is typically anything that we would incur if they have an out-of-pocket expense such as, let's say, they went and got hearing aids or something like that.

So if we made it effective January 1st, 2021, it would give them about seven months to spend that down. If we gave them until May 30th, then it gives us some time to reach out to do some communications and ensure that members are fully aware. Now, they do get reminder notices every year. I think, $I$ believe it's at least once a year, but maybe twice a year. I would have to verify that. But $I$ know it's at least once a year when they do get reminder notices that they do have a balance.

So the reason that the consideration is effective either January 1st or May 30th and not a July 1st is because it's more for accounting purposes. Our CFO, Cari, needs it to be able to project the next fiscal year. And so doing CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
that on May 30th makes it a little easier on the fiscal side versus on July 1st.

MEMBER MITCHELL: So, Laura, Jet Mitchell for the record. Is there a projection that's been done of any differences between an effective date of January 1st, 2021, versus a date of May 30th, 2021? Has there been a substantial Delta on those two days?

MS. RICH: It's not about the impact dollar wise. It's more about the communication, the time that you have to communicate to these members. I highly doubt the fiscal impact is going to be that significant, because, like I said, you can't just pull, you know, expenses out of a hat. You have to actually incur them. Members do have up to a year to submit a reimbursement request. So anything that was -- that falls under that eligible expense, they do have up to a year to submit it.

And, the other thing that $I$ wanted to add to that too is that while we're capping those balances -- Let's say we choose the $\$ 8,000$ cap, which is what's being recommended, let's say that we, you know, on July 1st -- in this situation it would be June 1st. But those caps are reset at $\$ 8,000$. So someone that is getting $\$ 260$ a month would continue, let's say for math purposes so it's easy let's just say they're getting a hundred dollars a month, so they would continue to CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
get that hundred dollars. And so in the following month they would have 8100, the next month they have 8200. So the following year they would have 9200. They could still go over that $\$ 8,000$. It's just taxed. It will be reset at the end of the next fiscal year, right. So then it would go back from 9200 back to 8,000 if that's what was chosen. So they can still go above the 8,000. It's just that at a certain time during the year it then gets reset.

MEMBER MITCHELL: So it's more of a notice and communication impact as opposed to a fiscal impact? Jet Mitchell for the record.

MS. RICH: Correct. And it's also a matter of when these funds become available to, you know, get them however -- When legislative action is taken, it's a matter of when those funds become available, right. So if they're not available until May 30 th, then at some point then that -those funds would then not be able to be reverted back to the state until May 30th.

CHAIRMAN FREED: This is Laura Freed. Board members, any other clarifying questions?

Okay. Hearing none, shall we move on to option four?

MS. RICH: Okay. Option four. For the record, Laura Rich. This is the premium surcharge. This is actually CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
a suggestion brought forth, not back, has been brought forth by some of the advocacy groups as an option, not because it's something that anyone wants, but arguably, it's one of the easier things to eliminate as economic conditions improve.

So this is essentially adding a premium
surcharge. There's two options here. One is by tier. So the five dollars would be added to the employee premiums. Ten for employee plus spouse. Ten for employee plus children. And $\$ 15$ for employee plus family. So that equals a 2.9 million dollar savings. Or there's an across-the-board premium surcharge. Across the board everybody would get a five dollar premium surcharge, ten dollars, or $\$ 15$. And so those also bring in savings.

The impact of this would be pretty much everybody except for the Medicare retirees, obviously, because it would affect everyone either on the CDHP or on the HMO and EPO. So I'll pause there.

CHAIRMAN FREED: No questions? Okay.
MS. RICH: So the next one is -- this is one of the recommended options, reducing catastrophic reserves. So back on April 9th the board voted to approve a very, very slight reduction to catastrophic reserves. We went from 62 days down to 60 days. So this option reduces that catastrophic reserve even further reducing one-time funds. CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

The recommendation here is to reduce it down to 50 days, which would bring in a seven million dollar savings. The other option is 45 days, which is 10.5 million dollars in savings. This does not have a member impact. All we're doing is reducing those catastrophic reserves.

Remember that, you know, catastrophic reserves are -- that is what they're used for, that's what they're intended for is a catastrophe. And I would say that this is as good as what I would define a catastrophe situation. And so I think it's very appropriate to use these catastrophic reserves for this purpose. I'll pause there.

MEMBER LAMBORN: Madam Chair, this is Leah Lamborn. I have a question.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Sure. Go ahead.
MEMBER LAMBORN: So on this particular option it's listed as a seven million dollar savings reduced to 50 days, but on the back page on the recommendation when 1 look at option five, it's listed as 5.4. Can you explain the difference or is that a typo?

MS. RICH: That must be a -- I think -- You know what, those two are transposed. I'm sorry. Good catch. So that was the seven million should be on option five. And the 5.4 should be on option three. So those were transposed.

MEMBER LAMBORN: Okay. Thank you. CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

CHAIRMAN FREED: Okay. Any other questions?
MEMBER VERDUCCI: Madam Chair, Tom Verducci.
CHAIRMAN FREED: Please go ahead.
MEMBER VERDUCCI: Okay. My question is that the
50 days, is this going to be for just one year, this is a temporary plan and then our policy, permanent policy, would be 60 days and then in one year we'll be revisiting this to decide if we're going to return back to the 60 days? Is this just for one year?

MS. RICH: For the record Laura Rich. This would be changing catastrophic reserves, the policy. Now, remember, if we do this for one year, and we can do this for one year, but if that is -- Let's say we go back to -- back to, let's say, the 62 days or the 60 days, then we're going to have to come up with several million dollars to fund that again. So it's something that we can do for a year if that's the way the board would like to go. But, you know, a year from now, if that expires and it does not -- it doesn't remain at the 50 days, then we're going to have to come up with seven, eight, nine, ten million dollars to bring that back up to the required reserve levels.

MEMBER VERDUCCI: So would the 50 days be sustainable going forward? Is that too aggressive or is it something that should be revisited? I just don't want to be CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
going too conservative where we're jeopardizing the safety of the program.

MS. RICH: For the record, Laura Rich. You have heard Aon come to the table and talk about reserve levels. And you have heard that in comparison to other states we are funded pretty conservatively. We have -- We have those catastrophic reserves that are there. They're intended for those situations where, you know, unforeseen situations. Reducing them even further is, I wouldn't feel too comfortable with that, because at this point we have no excess reserves. And if we end up with shortfalls like we are actually facing today in one of our categories, then there's no other mechanism to fund those shortfalls other than going to the legislature and asking for more money, which I don't think anyone wants to do. So we want to make sure that we've got that cushion, catastrophic reserves to save us if there are any unforeseen circumstances or situation. COVID is a great example of that.

So I think that 50 days we're good and it's still -- We've heard in Aon repeatedly that, you know, we are funded pretty conservatively when it comes to reserves. I think we're good with those 50 days. But $I$ would caution from at least at this point going any lower than that.

MEMBER VERDUCCI: Very good. Because we are CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
definitely in a catastrophe. And this option $I$ think has the least impact to the members in the program from what $I$ can see here. Thank you.

MEMBER MITCHELL: Jet Mitchell for the record. If we did say that the $50-d a y$ catastrophic reserve amount was just for one fiscal year then particularly then the board would just be revisiting this again to determine a new catastrophic reserve level, whether it's back to the original or a slight amount lower. Is that a correct assumption?

MS. RICH: For the record Laura Rich. You are correct. We can either do this for just one fiscal year and bring it back up a year from now or we can just put it in to board policy and we can still bring it up a year from now if that's something that the board would like to do.

MEMBER MITCHELL: Jet Mitchell for the record. I echo the sentiments. If this isn't a catastrophe, I don't know what is. And balancing the fiscal responsibility very seriously with needing to not only cut but use, potentially use reserves in a crisis time like this. That's what I'm weighing as we're talking about this.

CHAIRMAN FREED: All right. This is Laura Freed.
Any other comments or clarifying questions from the board on option five?

Okay. I don't hear any, so why don't we go to CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
option six.
MS. RICH: Okay. Option six is the concept of adding a deductible to the HMO and EPO plans. So if we would implement a hundred dollar individual or $\$ 300$ family deductible similar to what we have on the CDHP just at much lower levels, obviously, it brings in about \$700,000 in savings to do this.

Now, in the orange there, I have the consideration with savings are only real life on the EPO. No change to the HMO. I actually spoke to Health Plan of Nevada, communicated with them over the weekend, and they are able to make these changes. Because there's a small amount of savings that are realized, it's somewhere in the hundred thousand dollar range, that they would be able to implement should this change go in to effect on the HMO too. So there's a little bit more in savings than what is actually highlighted here on this option.

But, again, the impact is pretty significant for those members on the HMO and EPO if we were to go this direction. So I'll pause there for any questions.

CHAIRMAN FREED: It doesn't sound like there's any.

MS. RICH: Okay. So I'll move to option seven.
This is reduction to the basic life insurance that is offered CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
through the program. So today we offer $\$ 25,000$ for active employees and $\$ 12,000$-- $\$ 12,500$ for retirees. Reducing those levels to 20,000 and 10,000 would bring about 2.1 million dollars in savings or even further from down to 10,000 and $\$ 5,000$ would bring 3.1 million dollars in savings.

I will say that the retiree groups are -- you've heard them at public comment. They do not like this option. This is not something that they are supporting. But if you look at, you know, the impact because the impact for actives is relatively low, you see that there's not a lot of life insurance claims in plan year 18 or 19 for active. It is quite a low impact for those active employees. However, for free Medicare and Medicare retirees it's a little bit more significant of an impact.

There's also some considerations here as well.
PEBP does offer voluntary life policies through the same company, through the standard on our voluntary benefit portal. So that is an option. There's a lot of people, 1300 retirees and over 3,000 active PEBP purchased those voluntary life policies as well. So I'll pause for questions.

MEMBER BAILEY: Madam Chair.
CHAIRMAN FREED: Yes. Mr. Bailey, is that you?
MEMBER BAILEY: Yes. Our advocates have strongly recommended or suggested to the board and PEBP staff too not CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
to do anything with the life insurance program. And I would advocate that we support that thinking. So that's my comment. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Okay. Thank you.
Board members, any other questions, reactions?
Okay. Sounds good.
MS. RICH: All right. So option eight. For the record, Laura Rich. This would be increasing the CDHP out-of-pocket max. So today it's $\$ 3900$ for an individual and $\$ 7800$ for a family member. This option increases it to 4500 and $\$ 9,000$. This would bring about 2.1 million dollars in savings. And, as you can see on the chart below, you can see that there's the percentage of people that have met their out-of-pocket max right here. You can see it's pretty low. Every year you don't have a lot of people that are meeting that out-of-pocket max. So it's not -- it's only going to impact those that are needing it, which it would be impacting those who are utilizing the plan the most.

So, again, this does not impact our HMO or EPO members at all. It really just impacts those on the CDHP and also excludes the impact from Medicare retirees as well here. If there's no questions, I'll move on to nine. And that is increasing the specialty $R X$ co-insurance on the HMO and EPO. So for those of you who have been on the board CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
for a while, you have seen that we used to have the specialty RX, the specialty drug co-insurance on the HMO and EPO at 40 percent. And throughout the year it's gone down to 30 and then back to 20 , which is where it's at today.

This option would revert that and continue to bring it back to even 30 percent or 40 percent where it used to be. And so the 30 percent option would bring in about $\$ 450,000$ and the 40 percent option would bring about $\$ 815,000$ in savings.

Again, the impact here would just be on the HMO and EPO participants. It would not necessarily -- It would not affect the CDHP or Medicare retirees.

So the recommendations here are to approve option two, option three, and option five. The reason for those recommendations is option two and option five do not have an impact whatsoever on members. We are -- All we're doing is looking at our required reserve funding and adjusting it to free up some revenue so that we can get to that 24.5 million dollar mark.

Option three, as $I$ said, capping at $\$ 8,000$, it will have a minor impact on some Medicare Exchange retirees. But, again, it's going to be pretty insignificant given that those people with that high of a balance probably don't have a need to use it and they're still going to have a CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
fairly significant balance. That $\$ 8,000$ is a pretty significant chunk of money to help when you've got fairly minimal, you know, in relation to, you know, those expenses for those people on the CDHP. You've got high out-of-pocket expenses versus on the Medicare Exchange. They're fairly minimal in relation to that.

So option three, while it does have an impact -I can't say it doesn't have any impact. It has a very minimal impact and is probably the least painful of all of these options.

And so those options combined with the ESI Market Check savings and the SaveOn program that we've already approved come down to the -- over the 24.5 million dollar mark at about 25.7.

So, with that, I will open it up for questions.
CHAIRMAN FREED: Thank you, Ms. Rich. This is Laura Freed. Just one clarification based on, if I understood Ms. Lamborn's earlier question about option five on that slide, reduction to 50 days is seven million, but on the recommendation it's 5.4. So I had crossed out 5.4 and written seven. Is that correct?

MS. RICH: Right. So those are just transposed.
CHAIRMAN FREED: If my arithmetic is correct, that brings us to 27.3 million. Do I have that right? CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

MS. RICH: No. So, let's see. So -- And I apologize for that. I thought -- I didn't mean to transpose those. So if you look at option for the HRA required for option is chosen with option three, right. So if we go to that 80 percent mark, it's 7.2 million; is that right?

CHAIRMAN FREED: Hang on a second. Let me flip my pages back. Okay. So savings is capped at 8,000 at the 80 percent mark is 6.9 .

MS. RICH: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. I was looking at the ten. So, yeah, 6.9 million, right. So I apologize. I've got these -- I think when we were doing the math here we had -- we were looking at the other chart. So I apologize. So that would be the 80 percent would be 7.2 million, right. So that's option two. Or 6.9. I'm sorry. 6.9 is option two.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Right.
MS. RICH: And then option three is the -- if we're going at 8,000 it's 5.4.

CHAIRMAN FREED: 5.4. Okay. So I'll write 5.4. MS. RICH: And then option five is seven, right? CHAIRMAN FREED: Okay. So it's exactly the same. You're absolutely right. This just got flipped over.

MS. RICH: I'm sorry about that. I apologize for that.
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CHAIRMAN FREED: Okay. Again, this is Laura

Freed. Board members, it is time to discuss our options. So I wanted to start with how does everybody feel about staff's recommendation. And, tangential to that, how does everybody feel about catastrophic reserves, that option five, being a policy change in board policies and procedures, as opposed to a one-year, a one-year savings that we would have to rebuild in subsequent plan years?

MEMBER FOX: Madam Chair, Linda Fox for the record. I like the recommendation of two, three, and five and with the suggestions that that catastrophic reserve change be permanent. And it also follows the recommendation from the governor today that whatever we do have a minimal impact on members.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Thank You, Ms. Fox. I would agree with that.

Other comments?
MEMBER LAMBORN: Madam Chair, Leah Lamborn.

CHAIRMAN FREED: All right. Go ahead.
MEMBER LAMBORN: I agree with Member Fox and PEBP's recommendation. I think two, three, five, I think they have the least amount of impact to the members. And as far as just approving the catastrophic reserve for one year, I just don't think that's realistic. I think it should be a CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
permanent change and at some point if we want to relook at it -- I don't think we're going to be through this crisis, budget shortfall crisis, within a year. This should be permanent.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Okay. Thank you.
MEMBER VERDUCCI: Yes, Madam Chair, Tom Verducci.
CHAIRMAN FREED: Please go ahead.
MEMBER VERDUCCI: Thank you. I do like the suggestions here on option two, three, and five. And I like the idea that we're not affecting life insurance, no premium surcharge, and this won't be an impact on the members. The one question $I$ have is on option three. Would that be effective January 1 of ' 21 or May 30 th of ' 21 ? It seems better in my opinion to make it May 30th.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Thank you, Mr. Verducci, for that question. I had meant to go back to that. I'm going to ask PEBP staff if they have a preference about how the timing of that plays in to their accounting of the savings.

MS. RICH: For the record, this is Laura Rich. I am looking at our CFO here to see what her preference is. Her preference would be January. And I don't know, Cari, if you want to chime in.

MS. EATON: This is Cari Eaton for the record. Just from a fiscal perspective, January would be better for CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
the plan, I believe. Because if we're rolling everyone's balances back, it's probably better to do that in the middle of the year and let them grow. Because starting our balances low and having them accrue the entire year, we're underestimating our actual reserves because we don't necessarily change it budgetarily every month or every year, so we just start off at that one level. But from a member perspective maybe for the first go-around, I don't know if March(sic) $30 t h$ would be the way to go to make it easier on members. So either way we can work with it.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Okay. Thank you for that. Go ahead, Mr. Verducci.

MEMBER VERDUCCI: Yes. Thank you. Tom Verducci.
So it would appear that May 30 th would really provide the members more flexibility. I kind of like the May 30th date if other members are supportive with that suggestion.

CHAIRMAN FREED: This is Laura Freed. I assume they're all still mulling it over.

MEMBER FOX: This is Linda Fox for the record. I agree with that. I agree with Tom.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Okay. Thanks.
MEMBER MITCHELL: Jet Mitchell for the record. I also agree with Tom.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Okay. Great. I'm not hearing a CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
lot of reaction from the members. So $I$ sort of take that to mean that everyone is pretty comfortable with the staff recommendations and including making option three effective May 30th, 2021, and option five would be a permanent change to the reserve policy. Is that a fair statement?

MEMBER URBAN: Madam Chair, this is Marsha Urban. It is for me.

CHAIRMAN FREED: All right. Thank you.
MEMBER BAILEY: Madam Chair, Don Bailey. It is for me also.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Great. Thank you.
MEMBER MITCHELL: Madam Chair, Jet Mitchell for the record. If we did make that a permanent policy, just in light of the critical nature of what's happening in our state and our country, would we be revisiting that again next year? Because $I$ would imagine that we would be just in light of what's happening.

CHAIRMAN FREED: The board can always revisit any policy. It just takes a board member coming to myself or Ms. Rich and asking to do so.

MEMBER SMITH: Madam Chair, this is David Smith. I'm in agreement with the statements.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Okay. Thanks.
Well, that seems to simplify the discussion quite CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
a bit. Does everyone feel ready to act on this matter? And, if so, I would accept a motion to take the staff recommendation with the parameters that I just outlined.

MEMBER MITCHELL: Jet Mitchell for the record. Before we do a motion, I want to add a thank you to Laura Rich, Laura Freed, and everybody at PEBP who has been working behind the scenes, because during this time I know it's been difficult for so many. And I wanted to express a thank you to those individuals, particularly the PEBP staff and Chair Freed, for the extra work that all of this has entailed.

CHAIRMAN FREED: That is very kind. I would agree with those comments. Not thanking me. But thanking Laura Rich and her staff. Thank you very much to the PEBP staff for everything that they have done. I quite agree.

MEMBER BAILEY: Madam Chair. Madam Chair, Don Bailey. I also would like to put a thank you to the staff, the PEBP staff, and you as a leader. And I would like to on behalf of the board pass on a thank you for the letter that the governor supplied.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Oh, great. Thank you for that. All right, board members, like I said, I'm happy to accept a motion, whenever any of you feel moved to state one.

[^0]the record.
CHAIRMAN FREED: Please go ahead.
MEMBER LAMBORN: I would like to make a motion to approve PEBP's recommendation for option two, option three, and option five, making five a permanent policy.

MEMBER BAILEY: Second.
CHAIRMAN FREED: I'm sorry. Did I hear a second?
MEMBER BAILEY: This is Don.
MEMBER VERDUCCI: Discussion. Tom Verducci for the record, Madam Chair.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Go ahead.
MEMBER VERDUCCI: It would seem in option three that we would have the effective date May 30th as part of the motion, May 30th of '21.

MS. RICH: And this is Laura Rich for the record. Can we change that? It just came to my attention that May has 31 days. So May 31st.

CHAIRMAN FREED: All right. So, to be clear, let me see if $I$ can clarify what everyone has just said. Ms. Lamborn moved to accept option two, option three with an effective date of May 31st, 2021, option five to make it a permanent policy change. And then take the ESI Market Check savings and the SaveOn projected savings?

MEMBER MITCHELL: Jet Mitchell. I second. CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

MEMBER BAILEY: We got a second.
CHAIRMAN FREED: Okay. So Mr. Bailey beat Ms. Mitchell to the punch. So we do have a second from Mr. Bailey.

Thank you, Mr. Verducci, on that, by the way.
MEMBER VERDUCCI: Yes. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN FREED: Okay. Hearing no discussion, all of those in favor signify by saying aye.
(The vote was unanimously in favor of the motion)
CHAIRMAN FREED: Any opposed, no. Hearing none, the motion passes unanimously. So that's that. Thank you, everyone.

Now, moving on to Agenda Item 5, which is our second period of public comment. I will again turn it over to our operator, Brad, to let us know who is in the cue for public comment.

OPERATOR BRAD: And, once again, if there are any comments at this time, please press one and then zero on your telephone key pad. And our first public comment is from the line of Kevin Ranft.

MR. RANFT: Yes. Good morning. This is Kevin Ranft representing AFSCME Local 4041 active state employees. I just want to thank each and every board member for their vote today. And I understand this is never easy. And, CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
again, I appreciate the work and the input you received. I would just ask that the governor's letter that was discussed can that be posted on your guys' PEBP website? And, again, I want to thank the staff and Laura Rich for all they've done. I appreciate your time. Thank you.

OPERATOR BRAD: We do have a comment from the line of Priscilla Maloney.

MS. MALONEY: All right. Just echoing --
Priscilla Maloney with the AFSCME retirees -- echoing again a huge thank you to all the efforts from Ms. Rich, PEBP staff, and the board in trying to navigate these very difficult waters.

And I wanted to just real quickly go back to option three, because it's at least possible that there's some misapprehension about the benefit that is appropriated every biennium to the Medicare retirees that are on the exchange. In preparation for this meeting, I looked at the quarterly update from Towers Watson, who runs the exchange. I looked at the fall of 2019, their fourth quarter and their first quarter, which was agendized for your January meeting. And, just to be clear, this isn't a rampant situation where somebody is pocketing anywhere from 200 to 260, if you need those casts, in to their pocket.

The way the system works, as Ms. Rich said, is CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
most of the benefit is paid out to different premiums and it's not just -- it's not just for their basic Medicare supplement or Medicare advantage plan. The advantage plans are cheaper. Some of them literally do have a zero premium.

And getting information from the Towers Watson report of the minimum for a Medicare supplement plan is $\$ 22$ a month but the maximum is 411. And each individual retiree exchange member's situation is tailored to their medical situation. And the cost for the Medicare advantage plans, again, start at zero but go up to a maximum of 223.

Using data from those two reports, we have over 1200 -- 12,500 retirees on the Medicare Exchange. So what we're really talking about is this very small data universe of less than 1100 accounts, 1100 lives, where they're either -- We had some questions too. We had our AFSCME international research department look at these, these facts, and try and figure out what's going on. Are these people just needing more education as to what they can pay for out of pocket?

As Ms. Rich explained, the premium amounts are automatically deducted by Towers Watson, so the member doesn't get that money as it were in their pocket. They can't go down the street, for example, and buy a policy from a broker. They must go through the exchange. CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322

But for this small universe of 1100 people, we don't know if it's our job as an employee union to do a better job of educating folks, can they get their hearing aid covered, things like that. Or if they have a Medicare advantage plan, some of those plans are amazingly generous. They're not for the critically ill. They are for healthy retirees. But they do cover a lot of stuff and there is no out of pocket.

So we're trying -- We will do our best to figure out why that number out of the 12,000 is not utilizing perhaps correctly and see what we can do for education, educational purposes, going forward.

But I don't want the board to get the idea today that this is an overly generous benefit for the Medicare Exchange retirees. And, again, they also purchase through the exchange down insurance, they could purchase Medicare part $D$ insurance as a separate -- That does not include the numbers $I$ just referenced. That's a whole separate thing.

But, again, they are spending those, probably the bulk of the money, that 12,500 plus people, they're spending the bulk of that benefit on their policy through the exchange and it's just, again, a small number. But it does make a difference in the big picture. And I'm glad that Ms. Rich came up with a creative solution to finding some money there, CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
some savings there. And, you know, maybe we can do a better job on education of our members going forward. Thank you.

OPERATOR BRAD: And we do have a comment from the line of Terri Laird. Please go ahead.

MS. LAIRD: Thank you very much. This is Terry Laird. I'm the executive director of RPEN, the Retired Public Employees of Nevada, and we represent all public employees in Nevada. We have about 8,000 members statewide active as well as retirees, the bulk of our members being retirees.

So, we would like to be on record in support of the staff recommendation here today of options two, three, and five, for all the reasons that were mentioned during the earlier rounds of public comment about our other advocacy group representatives.

We also thank PEBP's new executive officer, Laura Rich, for working with us in the last week to fully vet all of these options and are happy none of the more draconian recommendations were considered.

And we also thank the board today for making a decision especially leaving the life insurance as it is. And we're thankful that the lines of communication continue to be kept open, allowing us to talk through the challenges that face the state and its health care plan going forward. Thank CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
you again.
OPERATOR BRAD: And then we do have a comment from the line of Kent Ervin. Please go ahead.

MR. ERVIN: This is Kent Ervin for the Nevada Faculty Alliance. I would just like to give a big ditto for the thanks to board members for making these difficult decisions and to Laura Rich and PEBP staff for vetting all of the options with us and with the board.

I would like to say that, you know, we're still in a period of great uncertainty going forward with the COVID-19 situation and the impact on the budget. And, as Douglas Unger mentioned earlier, PEBP is going to have to look at plan design more proudly, look at the high subsidization right now of the EPO and HMO plans and whether that's sustainable and whether we could go to some sort of different plan design, a low deductible plan design, with co-pays that might serve us in the future and as well as the long-term reserve levels and other reserve levels fiscally conservative responsible for those will have to be discussed by the board in the future. So there's a lot of work ahead.

And I know from Ms. Rich that we're also -- PEBP is facing lots of RFP's, contracts, coming due in the next year or two. So the board has a lot to do. And, as advocates, we'll be there to help as we can. So thank you CAPITOL REPORTERS (775) 882-5322
very much.

OPERATOR BRAD: If there are any additional comments at this time, please press star. I'm sorry. One and then zero. Once again, if there are any further comments, please press one and then zero. And it does appear there are no further comments from the phone lines. Please continue.

CHAIRMAN FREED: Thank you.
So, again, this is Laura Freed for the record. I think we have reached the end of our work today. Thank you, board members, for being here. And I will call us adjourned at the time of 10:21 a.m. Thank you so much, everybody.
(Hearing concluded at 10:21 a.m.)
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| 42:18;44:4,10;48:4,5 | 5:18;11:5;13:15, | 32:10 |  |  |
| 1 | $2,12 ; 23: 5,6 ; 39: 4$ | 5 |  |  |
| $1(2)$ | 21 (4) |  |  |  |
| 3:5;37:13 | 6:16;37:13,13; | $4: 16 ; 42: 13$ |  |  |
| 1.7 (1) | 41:14 | 5.4 (7) |  |  |
| 8:1 | 223 (1) | 26:18,23;34:20,20; |  |  |
| 1.8 (1) | 44:10 | $35: 18,19,19$ |  |  |
| 8:1 | 24 (3) | 50 (10) |  |  |
| 1.9 (1) | 6:3;7:1;21:20 | 13:19;14:15;26:1, |  |  |
| 6:24 | 24.5 (3) | 16;27:5,19,22;28:19, |  |  |
| 10,000 (3) | 6:19;33:18;34:13 | 22;34:19 |  |  |
| 22:7;31:3,5 | 25 (1) | 50-day (1) |  |  |
| 10.5 (1) | 7:9 | 29:5 |  |  |
| 26:3 | 25.7 (1) |  |  |  |
| 10:21 (2) | 34:14 | 6 |  |  |
| 48:12,13 | 260 (1) |  |  |  |
| 1100 (3) | 43:22 | 6.9 (4) |  |  |
| 44:14,14;45:1 | 27 (1) | 35:8,10,14,14 |  |  |
| 117 (2) | 3:1 | 60 (4) |  |  |
| 20:13;21:4 | 27.3 (1) | 25:23;27:7,8,14 |  |  |
| 12 (1) | 34:24 | $62(2)$ |  |  |
| 14:16 | 281A420 (1) | $25: 22 ; 27: 14$ |  |  |
| 12,000 (1) | 5:4 | 7 |  |  |
| $45: 10$ $\mathbf{1 2 , 5 0 0}(2)$ | 3 | 7 |  |  |
| 44:12;45:20 |  | 7.2 (2) |  |  |
| 1200 (1) | 3 (1) | 35:5,13 |  |  |
| 44:12 | 4:17 |  |  |  |
| 1300 (1) | 3,000 (1) | 8 |  |  |
| 31:18 | 31:19 |  |  |  |
| 14 (1) | 3.1 (1) | 8,000 (7) |  |  |
| 6:17 | 31:5 | 11:1;22:6;24:6,7; |  |  |
| 18 (1) | 3.4 (1) | 35:7,18;46:8 |  |  |
| 31:11 | 21:2 | 80 (4) |  |  |
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